

Open meeting on development of European Research Knowledge Network on Planning Inclusive Communities

Marco Garrido-Cumbrera, PhD / Jorge Garcia Chacon
University of Sevilla

BACKGROUND

- **Planning inclusive communities**

Assessing the implementation of the UNCRPD at local level


POLICY INTERVENTION UMBRELLA

- **Implementation of Article 33 of the UNCRPD in Europe**
 - **Inclusion and participation of PwD**

THE PROJECT KEY IDEA

- 1. EU planning approach for local implementation of the UNCRPD including different planning tools.**
- 2. Apply in one urban and one rural municipality (treatment group).**
- 3. Compare with one urban and one rural municipality (control group).**


MANUAL FOR IMPLEMENTING UNCRPD LOCALLY



Source: ZPE planning model

SAMPLE SIZE: EU SOCIAL CARE APPROACHES

- Different representing EU heterogeneity:
- Findings will be representative of the reality of the EU context.



SOCIAL POLICY INTERVENTION (1)


To test the planning tool-box 'inclusive communities' in:

- **Municipalities (rural x2 and urban x2) with similar characteristics:**
 - No. inhabitants.
 - Income per inhabitant.
- **Rural areas x2 that meet the following requirements:**
 - Density: x2 less than national.
 - Primary sector: x2 higher than national.
 - Rural Lifestyle.
- **Urban areas x2 that meet the following requirements:**
 - Population density: x2 higher than national.
 - Primary sector activity: x2 lower than national.
 - Urban Lifestyle.

ASSIGNMENT TO THE SOCIAL POLICY INTERVENTION (2)

- The two municipalities (rural and urban) will have same characteristics:
 - No. inhabitants.
 - Income per inhabitant.
- Once the four municipalities have been selected, these municipalities will be divided between the treatment group (one rural and one urban) and the control group (one rural and one urban).
- The tool-box that has been developed will be implemented in the two treatment group municipalities while the control group will be used to test their evolution.

FOLLOW-UP DATA COLLECTION AND IMPACT EVALUATION



LEAD APPLICANT AND CO-APPLICANTS

Lead-applicant

**University of Sevilla,
Spain**

Co-applicant

**University Minho,
Portugal**

**Halmstad University,
Sweden**

**University Milano-
Bicocca, Italy**

**Maria Grzegorzewska
Academy of Special
Education, Poland**

**University of Siegen,
Germany**

**University of West
Bohemia,
Czech Republic**

Peer-Review

**Nordic Centre for
Welfare and Social
Issues, Finland**

**Mutualité Française,
France**

EVALUATION PROCESS AND TRANSNATIONAL DIMENSION

- 1. Performance of the action applicants**
- 2. Methodology**
- 3. Activities carried out**
- 4. Impact of the activities**
- 5. Finances**
- 6. Expert panel group**

COMMUNICATION (1)

Internal project communication

- Online-conferences
- Consortium meetings

External project communication

- Mailing list
- A project newsletter

COMMUNICATION (2)

Dissemination

- **Publication of the Description of the Action summary**
- **Fliers announcing the Action**
- **Action Website**
- **Final Action Report**
- **Final European Conference**
- **Recommendations for policy makers**
- **Dissemination across**
 - **Academics and researchers**
 - **Stakeholders**
 - **General audience**

